UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/086,857	05/29/1998	DAVID T. FREDERICK	D-1093	8855
28995 RALPH E. JOC	7590 11/14/200 CKE	EXAMINER		
walker & jocke	LPA		BUTLER, MICHAEL E	
231 SOUTH BE MEDINA, OH	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	Δ.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09086857	5/29/98	FREDERICK ET AL.	D-1093	
			E	XAMINER
RALPH E. JOCKE walker & jocke LPA			MICHAEL E BUTLER	
231 SOUTH BROADW MEDINA, OH 44256	ΑΥ		ART UNIT	PAPER
			3653	Withdrawn

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Responsive to an appeal specialist remand, the following corrections to the examiners answer are being sent per the specialists PTO-90C recomendation:

There are 2 redundant identical headings numbered 1 and sentences for party of interest-there should be only one.

There redundant statement under heading 6 stating the claims in the brief are correct-the statement under heading 6 should be ignored in deference to the same statement under heading 7.

The Following references relied upon in rejections were omitted under evidence relied upon section 8 and are listed here:

US 5,575,515	Iwamoto
US 4,237,439	Nemato
US 5,894,277	Keskin
US 4,656,850	Tabata
US 4,857,716	Gombrich
US 5,805,456	Higham

## WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS:

The following rejections were withdrawn following the final rejection of 7/31/07:

The following anticipatory rejections were withdrawn:

Lavigne regarding claim 48

Pearson '232 regarding claim 49

Colson Jr., et al. '297 regarding claims 49

Higham et al. '456 regarding claim 49

The obviousness rejections evidenced by the following combinations were withdrawn:

Colson Jr., et 450 in view of Holmes regarding claims 42-44

Halverson in view of McDonald '243 regarding claims 48 & 50

Halverson in view of Weinberger regarding claims 45, 48 and 50.

/Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)